

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,166	03/17/2004	James Robert Schwartz	9184M	4150
	7590 10/31/201 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAMINER		
Global Legal Department - IP Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 299 East Sixth Street CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ARNOLD, ERNST V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1613	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Applicant(s) SCHWARTZ ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
ERNST ARNOLD	1613

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
HE REPLY FILED 19 October 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED					
one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence					
	.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with e not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d 	ate of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisc In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	ry Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The cun the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (I FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICA	of the final rejection, whichever is earlier. s) or (e). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE ALL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL TUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).				
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the ppropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) is tel in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if chec nailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any es OTICE OF APPEAL	eperiod of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The he expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally ked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the				
I. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance w Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time perk MENDMENTS					
. X The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to	the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because				
 a) They raise new issues that would require further considera 	tion and/or search (see NOTE below);				
b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or	anding number of finally rejected claims				
 d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 					
NOTE: See Continuation Street. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 first paragraph rejections.					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-					
allowable claim(s).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) √ will not be entered, or (b) √ will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
FFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasor presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because is why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier				
☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 In It is request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
3. Other: ATUS OF CLAIMS					
. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: .					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,7-13,18-25 and 27.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
	/Ernst V Arnold/				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has deleted the "containing an impurity" from the claims which alters the scope of the claims and would serve to overcome the Examiner's 112 tirst paragraph rejections. However, by changing the scope, the Examiner must reconsider the art especially now that Applicant has added the limitations of zinc incorporated in the layers of the zinc containing layered material as well as re- introducing the zinc materials and mixtures thereof which subject matter was present in claim 1 amended on 11750/09 and later deleted from claim 1 on 9/30/10 where the impurity limitation was first introduced. The Examiner must also consider if "layered double hydroxide" and "hydroxy double salts" are unambiguous zinc containing layered materials with zinc incorporated in the layers of the material as defined in the claim of if they embrace materials outside the meters and bounds of that which is claimed. Therefore, the instant amendment, while overcoming the 112 first paragraph problems, still requires further consideration and search especially for subject matter in copending or patented applications that do not contain impurities such as copending 12/00/01524.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) the instant amendment requires further consideration and a fresh ODP search. 2) The amendment requires modification of the existing 103 rejection to address the 9 zinc layered materials and mixtures thereof as well as the "zinc is incorporated in the layers" limitation. 3) Applicant's arguments are based on entry of the claims but as stated above, the instant amendment are not being entered at this time for the reasons discussed above. The Examiner notes the filing of the terminal disclaimer over copending 11/602770. The TD has not yet been processed.